MARCO BALDI

BOLOGNA UNIVERSITY PHYSICS AND ASTRONOMY DEPARTMENT

COSMIC ACCELERATION: FROM THE COSMOLOGICAL CONSTANT TO DARK ENERGY AND MODIFIED GRAVITY THEORIES

ASTROPHYSICAL PROBES OF FUNDAMENTAL PHYSICS FERRARA, 7-11 SEPTEMBER 2015

Basics of structure formation

- Deviations from homogeneity
- Perturbed cosmological equations in the Newtonian gauge
- Linear growth of density perturbations
- The matter power spectrum
- Observational evidence of Dark Energy from perturbations
 - Cosmic Microwave Background: the ISW effect
 - Angular correlation function of galaxies

• Homogeneous DE models beyond the cosmological constant

- Dark Energy parameterisations
- Early Dark Energy
- Scalar field models: Quintessence and k-essence
- Interacting Dark Energy and Modified Gravity theories
 - Coupled Quintessence
 - f(R) gravity

BASICS OF STRUCTURE FORMATION

MARCO BALDI - LECTURES ON DARK ENERGY - FERRARA ASTROPHYSICS PHD SCHOOL, SEPTEMBER 2015

Our local perception of the Universe is far from a homogeneous space: the Cosmological Principle is not perfectly realised and holds only at very large scales.

Our local perception of the Universe is far from a homogeneous space: the Cosmological Principle is not perfectly realised and holds only at very large scales.



We observe particles (like stars, galaxies, and galaxy clusters) inhomogeneously distributed in space to form a large-scale structure called the cosmic web

Millennium XXL, Angulo et al. 2009

Our local perception of the Universe is far from a homogeneous space: the Cosmological Principle is not perfectly realised and holds only at very large scales.



We observe particles (like stars, galaxies, and galaxy clusters) inhomogeneously distributed in space to form a large-scale structure called the cosmic web To quantify the inhomogeneity of a distribution we use the 2-point correlation function ξ :

$$\xi(r) = \frac{\langle \rho(r) \rangle}{\rho_0} - 1 \quad (45)$$

so that

$$\int \xi(r)dV = 0$$
 (46)

Millennium XXL, Angulo et al. 2009

To describe an inhomogeneous Universe that recovers homogeneity at large scales we need to derive again GR equations using a "perturbed" FLRW metric (in conformal time $d\eta \equiv dt/a$):

$$g_{\mu\nu} = g^{(0)}_{\mu\nu} + \delta g_{\mu\nu}$$
 (47)

To describe an inhomogeneous Universe that recovers homogeneity at large scales we need to derive again GR equations using a "perturbed" FLRW metric (in conformal time $d\eta \equiv dt/a$):

$$g_{\mu\nu} = g^{(0)}_{\mu\nu} + \delta g_{\mu\nu}$$
 (47)

where (by choosing the Newtonian gauge):

$$g_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} = a^2 \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_{ij} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \delta g_{\mu\nu} = a^2 \begin{pmatrix} -2\Psi & 0 \\ 0 & 2\Phi\delta_{ij} \end{pmatrix}$$
(48)

so that the perturbed line element in conformal time is:

$$ds^{2} = a^{2}(\eta) \left[-(1+2\Psi)d\eta^{2} + (1+2\Phi)\delta_{ij}dx^{i}dx^{j} \right]$$
(49)

To describe an inhomogeneous Universe that recovers homogeneity at large scales we need to derive again GR equations using a "perturbed" FLRW metric (in conformal time $d\eta \equiv dt/a$):

$$g_{\mu\nu} = g^{(0)}_{\mu\nu} + \delta g_{\mu\nu}$$
 (47)

(50)

where (by choosing the Newtonian gauge):

$$g_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} = a^2 \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_{ij} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \delta g_{\mu\nu} = a^2 \begin{pmatrix} -2\Psi & 0 \\ 0 & 2\Phi\delta_{ij} \end{pmatrix}$$
(48)

so that the perturbed line element in conformal time is:

$$ds^{2} = a^{2}(\eta) \left[-(1+2\Psi)d\eta^{2} + (1+2\Phi)\delta_{ij}dx^{i}dx^{j} \right]$$
(49)

where Ψ and Φ are perturbation functions and are assumed to be small:

$$\Psi,\,\Phi\ll 1$$



With the perturbed FLRW metric in the Newtonian gauge one can derive the perturbed version of the Einstein equations:

$$G^{\mu(0)}_{\nu} + \delta G^{\mu}_{\nu} = 8\pi G (T^{\mu(0)}_{\nu} + \delta T^{\mu}_{\nu}) \quad \Rightarrow \delta G^{\mu}_{\nu} = 8\pi G \delta T^{\mu}_{\nu} \tag{51}$$

With the perturbed FLRW metric in the Newtonian gauge one can derive the perturbed version of the Einstein equations:

$$G^{\mu(0)}_{\nu} + \delta G^{\mu}_{\nu} = 8\pi G (T^{\mu(0)}_{\nu} + \delta T^{\mu}_{\nu}) \quad \Rightarrow \delta G^{\mu}_{\nu} = 8\pi G \delta T^{\mu}_{\nu} \quad (51)$$

By following an analogous procedure as for the homogeneous metric (compute $\delta\Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\lambda} \rightarrow \delta R_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \delta R \rightarrow \delta G^{\mu}_{\nu}$) one obtains the components of the perturbed Einstein Tensor

With the perturbed FLRW metric in the Newtonian gauge one can derive the perturbed version of the Einstein equations:

$$G^{\mu(0)}_{\nu} + \delta G^{\mu}_{\nu} = 8\pi G (T^{\mu(0)}_{\nu} + \delta T^{\mu}_{\nu}) \quad \Rightarrow \delta G^{\mu}_{\nu} = 8\pi G \delta T^{\mu}_{\nu} \quad (51)$$

By following an analogous procedure as for the homogeneous metric (compute $\delta\Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\lambda} \rightarrow \delta R_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \delta R \rightarrow \delta G^{\mu}_{\nu}$) one obtains the components of the perturbed Einstein Tensor

For the perturbed energy-momentum tensor δT^{μ}_{ν} of a single fluid with equation of state w, by assuming it remains a perfect fluid $(\delta T^{i}_{i} = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j)$ one has:

$$\delta T^{\mu}_{\nu} = \rho \left[\delta (1 + c_s^2) u_{\nu} u^{\mu} + (1 + w) (\delta u_{\nu} u^{\mu} + u_{\nu} \delta u^{\mu}) + c_s^2 \delta \delta^{\mu}_{\nu} \right]$$

(52)

With the perturbed FLRW metric in the Newtonian gauge one can derive the perturbed version of the Einstein equations:

$$G^{\mu(0)}_{\nu} + \delta G^{\mu}_{\nu} = 8\pi G (T^{\mu(0)}_{\nu} + \delta T^{\mu}_{\nu}) \quad \Rightarrow \delta G^{\mu}_{\nu} = 8\pi G \delta T^{\mu}_{\nu} \quad (51)$$

By following an analogous procedure as for the homogeneous metric (compute $\delta\Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\lambda} \rightarrow \delta R_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \delta R \rightarrow \delta G^{\mu}_{\nu}$) one obtains the components of the perturbed Einstein Tensor

For the perturbed energy-momentum tensor δT^{μ}_{ν} of a single fluid with equation of state w, by assuming it remains a perfect fluid $(\delta T^{i}_{j} = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j)$ one has:

$$\delta T^{\mu}_{\nu} = \rho \left[\delta (1 + c_s^2) u_{\nu} u^{\mu} + (1 + w) (\delta u_{\nu} u^{\mu} + u_{\nu} \delta u^{\mu}) + c_s^2 \delta \delta^{\mu}_{\nu} \right]$$

where we have introduced the density contrast δ and the sound ⁽⁵²⁾ speed c_s^2 : $c_s^2 \equiv \delta p / \delta \rho$ $\delta \equiv \delta \rho / \rho$ (53)

With some (tedious) algebra, by equating the different components of the perturbed tensors, one gets the perturbed Einstein eqs:

$$(0,0): 3\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{H}\Psi - \Phi') + \nabla^2 \Phi = -4\pi G a^2 \delta \rho$$

$$(0,i): \nabla^2 (\Phi' - \mathcal{H}\Psi) = 4\pi G a^2 (1+w) \rho \theta$$

$$(i,j): \Psi = -\Phi$$
(54)

$$(i,i): \Phi'' + 2\mathcal{H}\Phi' - \mathcal{H}\Psi' - (\mathcal{H}^2 + 2\mathcal{H}')\Psi = -4\pi Ga^2 c_s^2 \delta\rho$$

where we have introduced the velocity divergence variable $\theta\equiv \nabla_i v^i$ with $v^i\equiv dx^i/d\eta=adx^i/dt$

With some (tedious) algebra, by equating the different components of the perturbed tensors, one gets the perturbed Einstein eqs:

$$(0,0): 3\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{H}\Psi - \Phi') + \nabla^2 \Phi = -4\pi G a^2 \delta \rho$$

$$(0,i): \nabla^2 (\Phi' - \mathcal{H}\Psi) = 4\pi G a^2 (1+w) \rho \theta$$

$$(i,j): \Psi = -\Phi$$
(54)

$$(i,i): \Phi'' + 2\mathcal{H}\Phi' - \mathcal{H}\Psi' - (\mathcal{H}^2 + 2\mathcal{H}')\Psi = -4\pi Ga^2c_s^2\delta\rho$$

where we have introduced the velocity divergence variable $\theta\equiv \nabla_i v^i$ with $v^i\equiv dx^i/d\eta=adx^i/dt$

The (i,j) component comes from the assumption of a perturbed perfect fluid $\delta T_j^i = 0$, and the (i,i) component becomes a dynamic equation for the (only) gravitational potential Φ

The last piece of information comes from the perturbed version of the continuity equation: $\nabla_{\mu}\delta T^{\mu}_{\nu}$. Using the perturbed Christoffel:

$$\nu = 0: \delta' + 3\mathcal{H}(c_s^2 - w)\delta = -(1+w)(\theta + 3\Phi')$$

$$\nu = i: \theta' + \left[\mathcal{H}(1-3w) + \frac{w'}{1+w}\right]\theta = -\nabla^2\left(\frac{c_s^2}{1+w}\delta + \Psi\right)$$
(55)
(56)

The last piece of information comes from the perturbed version of the continuity equation: $\nabla_{\mu}\delta T^{\mu}_{\nu}$. Using the perturbed Christoffel:

$$\nu = 0: \delta' + 3\mathcal{H}(c_s^2 - w)\delta = -(1 + w)(\theta + 3\Phi')$$
(55)

$$\nu = i : \theta' + \left[\mathcal{H}(1 - 3w) + \frac{w'}{1 + w}\right]\theta = -\nabla^2 \left(\frac{c_s^2}{1 + w}\delta + \Psi\right)$$

These equations strongly simplify for the case of non-relativistic ⁽⁵⁶⁾ matter ($w \simeq 0, c_s \simeq 0$) at small scales ($\Phi' \simeq 0$):

$$\delta' = -\theta \qquad \qquad \theta' + \mathcal{H}\theta = -\nabla^2 \Psi \tag{57}$$

The last piece of information comes from the perturbed version of the continuity equation: $\nabla_{\mu}\delta T^{\mu}_{\nu}$. Using the perturbed Christoffel:

$$\nu = 0: \,\delta' + 3\mathcal{H}(c_s^2 - w)\delta = -(1+w)(\theta + 3\Phi') \tag{55}$$

$$\nu = i : \theta' + \left[\mathcal{H}(1 - 3w) + \frac{w'}{1 + w}\right]\theta = -\nabla^2 \left(\frac{c_s^2}{1 + w}\delta + \Psi\right)$$

These equations strongly simplify for the case of non-relativistic ⁽⁵⁶⁾ matter ($w \simeq 0, c_s \simeq 0$) at small scales ($\Phi' \simeq 0$):

$$\delta' = -\theta \qquad \qquad \theta' + \mathcal{H}\theta = -\nabla^2 \Psi \tag{57}$$

Finally, from Einstein (0,0) at small scales ($\mathcal{H}^2 \Phi \ll \nabla^2 \Phi$) one gets the sub-horizon Poisson equation:

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = 4\pi G a^2 \rho \delta = \frac{3}{2} \frac{8\pi G \rho}{3H^2} a^2 H^2 \delta = \frac{3}{2} \Omega \mathcal{H}^2 \delta$$
(58)

MARCO BALDI - LECTURES ON DARK ENERGY - FERRARA ASTROPHYSICS PHD SCHOOL, SEPTEMBER 2015

Combining the derivative of the continuity with the Euler equation one gets a 2nd order differential equation for the density contrast, describing the process of gravitational instability:

$$\delta'' + \mathcal{H}\delta' - \frac{3}{2}\Omega\mathcal{H}^2\delta = 0$$

(59)

Combining the derivative of the continuity with the Euler equation one gets a 2nd order differential equation for the density contrast, describing the process of gravitational instability:

$$\delta'' + \mathcal{H}\delta' - \frac{3}{2}\Omega\mathcal{H}^2\delta = 0$$
⁽⁵⁹⁾

which (for an Einstein-deSitter Universe, $\Omega \approx 1$)has solutions:

$$\delta_+ = Aa$$
 (growing) $\delta_- = Ba^{-3/2}$ (decaying) (60)

which implies a constant gravitational potential $\Phi \propto {\rm const.}$

Combining the derivative of the continuity with the Euler equation one gets a 2nd order differential equation for the density contrast, describing the process of gravitational instability:

$$\delta'' + \mathcal{H}\delta' - \frac{3}{2}\Omega\mathcal{H}^2\delta = 0$$
⁽⁵⁹⁾

which (for an Einstein-deSitter Universe, $\Omega \approx 1$)has solutions:

$$\delta_+ = Aa$$
 (growing) $\delta_- = Ba^{-3/2}$ (decaying) (60)

which implies a constant gravitational potential $\Phi \propto {\rm const.}$

For the case of two pressureless fluids (as e.g. baryons and dark matter), the gravitational instability equation is easily generalised:

$$\delta_c'' + \mathcal{H}\delta_c' - \frac{3}{2}\mathcal{H}^2(\Omega_c\delta_c + \Omega_b\delta_b) = 0$$
(61)

$$\delta_b'' + \mathcal{H}\delta_b' - \frac{3}{2}\mathcal{H}^2(\Omega_c\delta_c + \Omega_b\delta_b) = 0$$
(62)

MARCO BALDI - LECTURES ON DARK ENERGY - FERRARA ASTROPHYSICS PHD SCHOOL, SEPTEMBER 2015

The process of gravitational instability is responsible for the formation of cosmic structures, starting from the primordial density fluctuations. This process can be altered by Dark Energy.

The process of gravitational instability is responsible for the formation of cosmic structures, starting from the primordial density fluctuations. This process can be altered by Dark Energy.

Primordial density field $z_{\rm CMB} \approx 10^3, a_{\rm CMB} \approx 10^{-3}$



 $\Delta T/T \approx \delta \rho_b / \rho_b \approx 10^{-5}$

The process of gravitational instability is responsible for the formation of cosmic structures, starting from the primordial density fluctuations. This process can be altered by Dark Energy.



The process of gravitational instability is responsible for the formation of cosmic structures, starting from the primordial density fluctuations. This process can be altered by Dark Energy.



Evidence for the existence of non-baryonic dark matter

The process of gravitational instability is responsible for the formation of cosmic structures, starting from the primordial density fluctuations. This process can be altered by Dark Energy.



Evidence for the existence of non-baryonic dark matter

With a cosmological constant $\Omega_{\rm M} + \Omega_{\Lambda} = 1$: $\delta_+ \propto a^m, \ m < 1$

The process of gravitational instability is responsible for the formation of cosmic structures, starting from the primordial density fluctuations. This process can be altered by Dark Energy.



Evidence for the existence of non-baryonic dark matter

With a cosmological constant $\Omega_M + \Omega_\Lambda = 1$: $\delta_+ \propto a^m$, m < 1With more complicated Dark Energy models also \mathcal{H} changes non-trivially, and additional forces might come to play, so that $m \leq 1$

The matter power spectrum

The matter power spectrum

How to measure the level of inhomogeneity of a perturbation field? A convenient approach is to decompose the field in Fourier modes:

$$\delta_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{V} \int \delta(\mathbf{x}) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} dV \qquad \langle \delta_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle_{V} = \langle \delta(\mathbf{x}) \rangle_{V} = 0 \qquad (63)$$
The matter power spectrum

How to measure the level of inhomogeneity of a perturbation field? A convenient approach is to decompose the field in Fourier modes:

$$\delta_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{V} \int \delta(\mathbf{x}) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} dV \qquad \langle \delta_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle_{V} = \langle \delta(\mathbf{x}) \rangle_{V} = 0 \qquad (63)$$

The simplest non-trivial statistics is the power spectrum:

$$P(\mathbf{k}) = V \delta_{\mathbf{k}} \delta_{\mathbf{k}}^* \quad \Rightarrow P(\mathbf{k}) = \int \xi(\mathbf{r}) e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} dV \tag{64}$$

The matter power spectrum

How to measure the level of inhomogeneity of a perturbation field? A convenient approach is to decompose the field in Fourier modes:

$$\delta_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{V} \int \delta(\mathbf{x}) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} dV \qquad \langle \delta_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle_{V} = \langle \delta(\mathbf{x}) \rangle_{V} = 0 \qquad (63)$$

The simplest non-trivial statistics is the power spectrum:

$$P(\mathbf{k}) = V \delta_{\mathbf{k}} \delta_{\mathbf{k}}^* \quad \Rightarrow P(\mathbf{k}) = \int \xi(\mathbf{r}) e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} dV \tag{64}$$

One can then compute the variance of the field in spheres of radius R using a spherical top-hat window function $W(\mathbf{x}) = 3/4\pi R^3$ for $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x_0} < R$ and $W(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ otherwise:

$$\sigma_R^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int P(k) W^2(k) k^2 dk$$

(65)

The matter power spectrum

How to measure the level of inhomogeneity of a perturbation field? A convenient approach is to decompose the field in Fourier modes:

$$\delta_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{V} \int \delta(\mathbf{x}) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} dV \qquad \langle \delta_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle_{V} = \langle \delta(\mathbf{x}) \rangle_{V} = 0 \qquad (63)$$

The simplest non-trivial statistics is the power spectrum:

$$P(\mathbf{k}) = V \delta_{\mathbf{k}} \delta_{\mathbf{k}}^* \quad \Rightarrow P(\mathbf{k}) = \int \xi(\mathbf{r}) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} dV \tag{64}$$

One can then compute the variance of the field in spheres of radius R using a spherical top-hat window function $W(\mathbf{x}) = 3/4\pi R^3$ for $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x_0} < R$ and $W(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ otherwise:

$$\sigma_R^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int P(k) W^2(k) k^2 dk \tag{65}$$

When we say that $(\delta \rho / \rho)_0 \approx 1$ what we actually mean is that:

$$\sigma_8 \equiv \sigma_{8h^{-1}\mathrm{Mpc}} \approx 1$$

(66)

OBSERVATIONAL EVIDENCE OF COSMIC ACCELERATION: STRUCTURE FORMATION PROBES

Dark Energy is a low-redshift phenomenon, so it should not affect the properties of the CMB at the last scattering surface. However, CMB photons travel through a Dark Energy dominated Universe before reaching us, and their properties can be modified by DE.

Dark Energy is a low-redshift phenomenon, so it should not affect the properties of the CMB at the last scattering surface. However, CMB photons travel through a Dark Energy dominated Universe before reaching us, and their properties can be modified by DE.



CMB temperature anisotropies (Planck 2015)

Dark Energy is a low-redshift phenomenon, so it should not affect the properties of the CMB at the last scattering surface. However, CMB photons travel through a Dark Energy dominated Universe before reaching us, and their properties can be modified by DE.



Dark Energy is a low-redshift phenomenon, so it should not affect the properties of the CMB at the last scattering surface. However, CMB photons travel through a Dark Energy dominated Universe before reaching us, and their properties can be modified by DE.



CMB temperature anisotropies (Planck 2015)

Angular power spectrum (Planck 2015)

In particular, the power at large angles ($\ell \lesssim 30$) is dominated by the ISW contribution:

$$(\delta T/T)_{\rm ISW} \propto \int_{E}^{O} 2(\partial \Phi/\partial \eta) d\eta \bigvee_{\neq 0}^{=0} = 0$$
 for matter domination
 $\neq 0$ in the presence of DE More on this in the CMB lectures (Burigana, Mandolesi, Natoli) (67)

Large Scale Structures

The first observational hint of a DE-dominated Universe came from the comparison of the APM galaxy survey with N-body simulations ~ 10 years before the detection of acceleration (Maddox et al. 1990, Efstathiou, Sutherland, Maddox 1990)

Large Scale Structures

The first observational hint of a DE-dominated Universe came from the comparison of the APM galaxy survey with N-body simulations ~ 10 years before the detection of acceleration (Maddox et al. 1990, Efstathiou, Sutherland, Maddox 1990)

The cosmological constant and cold dark matter

G. Efstathiou, W. J. Sutherland & S. J. Maddox

Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3RH, UK

THE cold dark matter (CDM) model¹⁻⁴ for the formation and distribution of galaxies in a universe with exactly the critical density is theoretically appealing and has proved to be durable, but recent work⁵⁻⁸ suggests that there is more cosmological structure on very large scales $(l > 10 h^{-1} \text{ Mpc}$, where h is the Hubble constant H_0 in units of 100 km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹) than simple versions of the CDM theory predict. We argue here that the successes of the CDM theory can be retained and the new observations accommodated in a spatially flat cosmology in which as much as 80% of the critical density is provided by a positive cosmological constant, which is dynamically equivalent to endowing the vacuum with a non-zero energy density. In such a universe, expansion was dominated by CDM until a recent epoch, but is now governed by the cosmological constant. As well as explaining large-scale structure, a cosmological constant can account for the lack of fluctuations in the microwave background and the large number of certain kinds of object found at high redshift.

Large Scale Structures

The first observational hint of a DE-dominated Universe came from the comparison of the APM galaxy survey with N-body simulations ~ 10 years before the detection of acceleration (Maddox et al. 1990, Efstathiou, Sutherland, Maddox 1990)

The cosmological constant and cold dark matter

G. Efstathiou, W. J. Sutherland & S. J. Maddox

Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3RH, UK

THE cold dark matter (CDM) model¹⁻⁴ for the formation and distribution of galaxies in a universe with exactly the critical density is theoretically appealing and has proved to be durable, but recent work⁵⁻⁸ suggests that there is more cosmological structure on very large scales $(l > 10 h^{-1} \text{ Mpc}$, where h is the Hubble constant H_0 in units of 100 km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹) than simple versions of the CDM theory predict. We argue here that the successes of the CDM theory can be retained and the new observations accommodated in a spatially flat cosmology in which as much as 80% of the critical density is provided by a positive cosmological constant, which is dynamically equivalent to endowing the vacuum with a non-zero energy density. In such a universe, expansion was dominated by CDM until a recent epoch, but is now governed by the cosmological constant. As well as explaining large-scale structure, a cosmological constant can account for the lack of fluctuations in the microwave background and the large number of certain kinds of object found at high redshift.



DARK ENERGY MODELS BEYOND THE COSMOLOGICAL CONSTANT

Classification of Dark Energy models

Classification of Dark Energy models

	time evolution	spatial fluctuations	interactions
Λ	×	×	×
Dynamical DE (DE parameterisations, Quintessence, k-essence)	a dynamical (scalar) degree of freedom	no clustering at sub-horizon scales	minimally-coupled to matter fields

Dark Energy parameterisations (I)

Dark Energy parameterisations (I)

A first step to generalise Dark Energy beyond the cosmological constant is to release the property $w_{\rm DE} = -1 \Rightarrow \rho_{\rm DE} = {\rm const.}$ that characterises Λ , for instance by considering phenomenological cases like $w_{\rm DE} = {\rm const.} < -1/3$ or even $w_{\rm DE}(z)$

Dark Energy parameterisations (I)

A first step to generalise Dark Energy beyond the cosmological constant is to release the property $w_{\rm DE} = -1 \Rightarrow \rho_{\rm DE} = {\rm const.}$ that characterises Λ , for instance by considering phenomenological cases like $w_{\rm DE} = {\rm const.} < -1/3$ or even $w_{\rm DE}(z)$

Some popular parameterisations are:

Chevalier-Polarski-Linder (CPL):

$$w(a) = w_0 + w_a(1-a)$$
(68)

Early Dark Energy (EDE, Doran & Robbers 2006):

$$w_{\rm DE}(a) = \frac{w_0}{1 + b \ln(1/a)} \qquad b = -\frac{3w_0}{\ln \frac{1 - \Omega_{\rm EDE}}{\Omega_{\rm EDE}} + \ln \frac{1 - \Omega_M}{\Omega_M}}$$
(69)

Dark Energy parameterisations (II)



Dark Energy parameterisations (II)



A more physically motivated class of dynamical Dark Energy scenarios is given by scalar field models based on a scalar degree of freedom $\phi(t)$ evolving in a self-interaction potential $V(\phi)$:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\phi} = -\frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\nu}\phi - V(\phi)$$
(70)

A more physically motivated class of dynamical Dark Energy scenarios is given by scalar field models based on a scalar degree of freedom $\phi(t)$ evolving in a self-interaction potential $V(\phi)$:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\phi} = -\frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\nu}\phi - V(\phi)$$
(70)

In a FLRW metric this gives a diagonal energy momentum tensor:

$$\rho_{\phi} = -T_0^{0(\phi)} = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + V(\phi) \qquad p_{\phi} = \frac{1}{3}T_i^{i(\phi)} = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 - V(\phi)$$
(71)

A more physically motivated class of dynamical Dark Energy scenarios is given by scalar field models based on a scalar degree of freedom $\phi(t)$ evolving in a self-interaction potential $V(\phi)$:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\phi} = -\frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\nu}\phi - V(\phi)$$
(70)

In a FLRW metric this gives a diagonal energy momentum tensor:

$$\rho_{\phi} = -T_0^{0(\phi)} = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + V(\phi) \qquad p_{\phi} = \frac{1}{3}T_i^{i(\phi)} = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 - V(\phi)$$

with an equation of state:

$$w_{\phi}(t) = \frac{\dot{\phi}^2 - 2V(\phi)}{\dot{\phi}^2 + 2V(\phi)}$$
(72)

A more physically motivated class of dynamical Dark Energy scenarios is given by scalar field models based on a scalar degree of freedom $\phi(t)$ evolving in a self-interaction potential $V(\phi)$:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\phi} = -\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial_{\nu} \phi - V(\phi)$$
(70)

In a FLRW metric this gives a diagonal energy momentum tensor:

$$\rho_{\phi} = -T_0^{0(\phi)} = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + V(\phi) \qquad p_{\phi} = \frac{1}{3}T_i^{i(\phi)} = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 - V(\phi)$$

with an equation of state:

$$w_{\phi}(t) = \frac{\dot{\phi}^2 - 2V(\phi)}{\dot{\phi}^2 + 2V(\phi)}$$
(72)

(/|)

and a dynamical equation for the field (Klein-Gordon equation):

$$\dot{\rho}_{\phi} + 3H(\rho_{\phi} + p_{\phi}) = 0 \Rightarrow \ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + \frac{dV}{d\phi} = 0$$
(73)





The interesting feature of scalar field Quintessence models is that for some particular potentials they provide scaling solutions:

$$\frac{\rho_{\phi}}{\rho_{\text{background}}} \approx \text{const.}$$
(74)

The interesting feature of scalar field Quintessence models is that for some particular potentials they provide scaling solutions:

$$\frac{\rho_{\phi}}{\rho_{\text{background}}} \approx \text{const.}$$
(74)

In particular, for an exponential potential $V(\phi) = V_0 \exp[-\alpha \phi]$ one solution is given by: $\Omega_{\phi} = 24\pi G(1 + w_{\text{background}})/\alpha^2$

The interesting feature of scalar field Quintessence models is that for some particular potentials they provide scaling solutions:

$$\frac{\rho_{\phi}}{\rho_{\text{background}}} \approx \text{const.}$$
(74)

In particular, for an exponential potential $V(\phi) = V_0 \exp[-\alpha \phi]$ one solution is given by: $\Omega_{\phi} = 24\pi G(1 + w_{\text{background}})/\alpha^2$



The interesting feature of scalar field Quintessence models is that for some particular potentials they provide scaling solutions:

$$\frac{\rho_{\phi}}{\rho_{\text{background}}} \approx \text{const.}$$
(74)

In particular, for an exponential potential $V(\phi) = V_0 \exp[-\alpha \phi]$ one solution is given by: $\Omega_{\phi} = 24\pi G(1 + w_{\text{background}})/\alpha^2$



However, on the scaling solution

 $w_{\phi} = w_{\text{background}}$

so that the field cannot drive acceleration.

Possible solutions to this issue amount to perturbing the potential (SUGRA), having a time-dependent slope $\alpha(t)$, or introducing an ^{10°} interaction of the field (coupled DE)

Generalised scalar field models whose Lagrangian density is given by a generic function $\mathcal{L}_{\phi} = p(\chi, \phi)$ of the scalar field ϕ and of its kinetic energy $\chi \equiv -(1/2)g^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\nu}\phi$ are called k-essence.

Generalised scalar field models whose Lagrangian density is given by a generic function $\mathcal{L}_{\phi} = p(\chi, \phi)$ of the scalar field ϕ and of its kinetic energy $\chi \equiv -(1/2)g^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\nu}\phi$ are called k-essence.

This allows to consider models with negative kinetic energy (called phantom): $p(\chi,\phi) = -\chi - V(\phi)$

Generalised scalar field models whose Lagrangian density is given by a generic function $\mathcal{L}_{\phi} = p(\chi, \phi)$ of the scalar field ϕ and of its kinetic energy $\chi \equiv -(1/2)g^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\nu}\phi$ are called k-essence.

This allows to consider models with negative kinetic energy (called phantom): $p(\chi, \phi) = -\chi - V(\phi)$

The energy, pressure, and equation of state for k-essence are:

$$\rho_{\phi} = 2\chi \partial p / \partial \chi - p \qquad p_{\phi} = p \qquad w_{\phi} = \frac{p}{2\chi \partial p / \partial \chi - p}$$
(75)

so that the condition for acceleration is given by:

$$2\chi \partial p / \partial \chi | \ll |p|$$
 (76)
	time evolution	spatial fluctuations	interactions
Λ	×	×	×
Dynamical DE (DE parameterisations, Quintessence, k-essence)	a dynamical (scalar) degree of freedom	no clustering at sub-horizon scales	minimally-coupled to matter fields

	time evolution	spatial fluctuations	interactions
Λ	×	×	×
Dynamical DE (DE parameterisations, Quintessence, k-essence)	a dynamical (scalar) degree of freedom	no clustering at sub-horizon scales	minimally-coupled to matter fields
Clustering DE ("cold" DE models, Unified DE models)	a dynamical (scalar) degree of freedom	small sound speed, clustering at sub-H	x minimally coupled to matter

Standard homogeneous Dark Energy models like w(a) parameterisations or scalar field models are characterised by a sound speed of the order of the speed of light: $c_{s,(\text{DE})} \approx 1$

Standard homogeneous Dark Energy models like w(a) parameterisations or scalar field models are characterised by a sound speed of the order of the speed of light: $c_{s,(\text{DE})} \approx 1$ Recalling the equation for gravitational instability for a general sound speed c_s , in Fourier space one has:

$$\delta'' + \mathcal{H}\delta' + \left(c_s^2k^2 - \frac{3}{2}\mathcal{H}^2\right)\delta = 0 \tag{77}$$

Standard homogeneous Dark Energy models like w(a) parameterisations or scalar field models are characterised by a sound speed of the order of the speed of light: $c_{s,(\text{DE})} \approx 1$ Recalling the equation for gravitational instability for a general sound speed c_s , in Fourier space one has:

$$\delta'' + \mathcal{H}\delta' + \left(c_s^2k^2 - \frac{3}{2}\mathcal{H}^2\right)\delta = 0 \tag{77}$$

so that perturbations will not grow for $\lambda = (2\pi a)/k$ satisfying:

$$k > \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\mathcal{H}}{c_s} \Rightarrow \lambda < \lambda_J = \sqrt{\frac{8}{3}} \frac{\pi c_s}{H}$$
(78)

Standard homogeneous Dark Energy models like w(a) parameterisations or scalar field models are characterised by a sound speed of the order of the speed of light: $c_{s,(\text{DE})} \approx 1$ Recalling the equation for gravitational instability for a general sound speed c_s , in Fourier space one has:

$$\delta'' + \mathcal{H}\delta' + \left(c_s^2k^2 - \frac{3}{2}\mathcal{H}^2\right)\delta = 0$$
(77)

so that perturbations will not grow for $\lambda = (2\pi a)/k$ satisfying:

$$k > \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\mathcal{H}}{c_s} \Rightarrow \lambda < \lambda_J = \sqrt{\frac{8}{3}} \frac{\pi c_s}{H}$$

(78)

Therefore, if $c_s^2 \approx 1 \rightarrow \lambda_J \approx H^{-1}$, which means that DE perturbations do not grow for scales smaller than the horizon.

Standard homogeneous Dark Energy models like w(a) parameterisations or scalar field models are characterised by a sound speed of the order of the speed of light: $c_{s,(\text{DE})} \approx 1$ Recalling the equation for gravitational instability for a general sound speed c_s , in Fourier space one has:

$$\delta'' + \mathcal{H}\delta' + \left(c_s^2k^2 - \frac{3}{2}\mathcal{H}^2\right)\delta = 0$$
(77)

so that perturbations will not grow for $\lambda = (2\pi a)/k$ satisfying:

$$k > \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\mathcal{H}}{c_s} \Rightarrow \lambda < \lambda_J = \sqrt{\frac{8}{3}} \frac{\pi c_s}{H}$$

(78)

Therefore, if $c_s^2 \approx 1 \rightarrow \lambda_J \approx H^{-1}$, which means that DE perturbations do not grow for scales smaller than the horizon. It is however possible to build Dark Energy models with a sound speed as low as matter $c_s^2 \approx 0$ so that Dark Energy density perturbations can survive also at sub-horizon scales.

	time evolution	spatial fluctuations	interactions
Λ	×	×	×
Dynamical DE (DE parameterisations, Quintessence, k-essence)	a dynamical (scalar) degree of freedom	no clustering at sub-horizon scales	minimally-coupled to matter fields
Clustering DE ("cold" DE models, Unified DE models)	a dynamical (scalar) degree of freedom	small sound speed, clustering at sub-H	x minimally coupled to matter

	time evolution	spatial fluctuations	interactions
Λ	×	×	×
Dynamical DE (DE parameterisations, Quintessence, k-essence)	a dynamical (scalar) degree of freedom	no clustering at sub-horizon scales	minimally-coupled to matter fields
Clustering DE ("cold" DE models, Unified DE models)	a dynamical (scalar) degree of freedom	small sound speed, clustering at sub-H	x minimally coupled to matter
Interacting DE (Coupled and Extended Quintessence, Modified Gravity)	a dynamical (scalar) degree of freedom	fluctuations sourced by the interaction	non-minimally coupled to matter

We have seen that general covariance implies the Bianchi identities:

$$\nabla_{\mu}G^{\mu}_{\nu} = 0 \Rightarrow \nabla_{\mu}T^{\mu}_{\nu} = 0 \tag{79}$$

We have seen that general covariance implies the Bianchi identities:

$$\nabla_{\mu}G^{\mu}_{\nu} = 0 \Rightarrow \nabla_{\mu}T^{\mu}_{\nu} = 0 \tag{79}$$

however, the energy-momentum tensor is the sum of several different components, such that:

$$\nabla_{\mu}T_{\nu}^{\mu(\text{DM})} + \nabla_{\mu}T_{\nu}^{\mu(\text{b})} + \nabla_{\mu}T_{\nu}^{\mu(\text{r})} + \nabla_{\mu}T_{\nu}^{\mu(\text{DE})} = 0$$
 (80)

We have seen that general covariance implies the Bianchi identities:

$$\nabla_{\mu}G^{\mu}_{\nu} = 0 \Rightarrow \nabla_{\mu}T^{\mu}_{\nu} = 0 \tag{79}$$

however, the energy-momentum tensor is the sum of several different components, such that:

$$\nabla_{\mu}T_{\nu}^{\mu(\text{DM})} + \nabla_{\mu}T_{\nu}^{\mu(\text{b})} + \nabla_{\mu}T_{\nu}^{\mu(\text{r})} + \nabla_{\mu}T_{\nu}^{\mu(\text{DE})} = 0$$
 (80)

therefore, a source term of the form $\nabla_{\mu}T_{\nu}^{\mu(i)} = C_{\nu}^{(i)}$ is allowed if:

$$\sum_{i} C_{\nu}^{(i)} = 0$$
 (81)

We have seen that general covariance implies the Bianchi identities:

$$\nabla_{\mu}G^{\mu}_{\nu} = 0 \Rightarrow \nabla_{\mu}T^{\mu}_{\nu} = 0 \tag{79}$$

however, the energy-momentum tensor is the sum of several different components, such that:

$$\nabla_{\mu} T_{\nu}^{\mu(\text{DM})} + \nabla_{\mu} T_{\nu}^{\mu(\text{b})} + \nabla_{\mu} T_{\nu}^{\mu(\text{r})} + \nabla_{\mu} T_{\nu}^{\mu(\text{DE})} = 0$$
 (80)

therefore, a source term of the form $\nabla_{\mu}T_{\nu}^{\mu(i)} = C_{\nu}^{(i)}$ is allowed if:

$$\sum_{i} C_{\nu}^{(i)} = 0$$
 (81)

So, if dark matter and a scalar field have opposite source terms

$$\nabla_{\mu}T_{\nu}^{\mu(\phi)} = -QT^{(\mathrm{DM})}\nabla_{\nu}\phi \qquad \nabla_{\mu}T_{\nu}^{\mu(\mathrm{DM})} = +QT^{(\mathrm{DM})}\nabla_{\nu}\phi$$
(82)

this corresponds to a direct interaction between the two fields

In a flat FLRW metric this type of interaction implies a modified continuity equation for the matter field and a modified Klein-Gordon equation for the scalar field:

$$\dot{\rho}_{\rm DM} + 3H\rho_{\rm DM} = +Q\rho_{\rm DM}\dot{\phi} \qquad \ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + \frac{dV}{d\phi} = -Q\rho_{\rm DM} \tag{83}$$

In a flat FLRW metric this type of interaction implies a modified continuity equation for the matter field and a modified Klein-Gordon equation for the scalar field:

$$\dot{\rho}_{\rm DM} + 3H\rho_{\rm DM} = +Q\rho_{\rm DM}\dot{\phi} \qquad \ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + \frac{dV}{d\phi} = -Q\rho_{\rm DM} \tag{83}$$

1T T

(84)

Integrating the continuity equation one gets:

$$\rho_{\rm DM} = \rho_{\rm DM}^{(0)} (a/a_0)^{-3} \exp \int_{\phi_0}^{\phi} Q(\tilde{\phi}) d\tilde{\phi}$$

In a flat FLRW metric this type of interaction implies a modified continuity equation for the matter field and a modified Klein-Gordon equation for the scalar field:

$$\dot{\rho}_{\rm DM} + 3H\rho_{\rm DM} = +Q\rho_{\rm DM}\dot{\phi} \qquad \ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + \frac{dV}{d\phi} = -Q\rho_{\rm DM} \tag{83}$$

1T 7

(84)

(85)

Integrating the continuity equation one gets:

$$\rho_{\rm DM} = \rho_{\rm DM}^{(0)} (a/a_0)^{-3} \exp \int_{\phi_0}^{\phi} Q(\tilde{\phi}) d\tilde{\phi}$$

which corresponds to a time variation of the particles mass:

$$m_{\rm DM}(a) = m_{\rm DM}^{(0)} \Delta m_{\rm DM}(\phi(a))$$

In a flat FLRW metric this type of interaction implies a modified continuity equation for the matter field and a modified Klein-Gordon equation for the scalar field:

$$\dot{\rho}_{\rm DM} + 3H\rho_{\rm DM} = +Q\rho_{\rm DM}\dot{\phi} \qquad \ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + \frac{dV}{d\phi} = -Q\rho_{\rm DM} \tag{83}$$

Integrating the continuity equation one gets:

$$\rho_{\rm DM} = \rho_{\rm DM}^{(0)} (a/a_0)^{-3} \exp \int_{\phi_0}^{\phi} Q(\tilde{\phi}) d\tilde{\phi}$$

which corresponds to a time variation of the particles mass:

$$m_{\rm DM}(a) = m_{\rm DM}^{(0)} \Delta m_{\rm DM}(\phi(a))$$
 (85)

1T 7

(84)

The coupling term in the Klein-Gordon equation can be seen as a perturbation of the potential that results in a shallower effective potential for the scalar field.

Interacting Dark Energy: coupled Quintessence (III) $V(\phi) = V_0 \exp[-\alpha\phi]$

MARCO BALDI - LECTURES ON DARK ENERGY - FERRARA ASTROPHYSICS PHD SCHOOL, SEPTEMBER 2015

Ò.





The most significant property of coupled Quintessence is that the coupling determines a new type of scaling solution, called Φ -Matter Dominated Epoch (Φ -MDE), characterised by:

$$\Omega_{\phi} = \frac{2Q^2}{3} \qquad \qquad w_{\phi} = +1$$

(86)

The most significant property of coupled Quintessence is that the coupling determines a new type of scaling solution, called Φ -Matter Dominated Epoch (Φ -MDE), characterised by:

$$\Omega_{\phi} = \frac{2Q^2}{3} \qquad \qquad w_{\phi} = +1 \tag{86}$$

The novel feature of this solution is that for $\,Q\ll 1$ and

$$Q\left(Q + \frac{\alpha}{8\pi G}\right) > -\frac{3}{2} \tag{87}$$

it is a saddle point (metastable solution) that naturally evolves to an accelerated dark-energy dominated solution

The interaction modifies the cosmic background evolution

Background Evolution for ΛCDM



The interaction modifies the cosmic background evolution

Background Evolution for RP1



The interaction modifies the cosmic background evolution

Background Evolution for RP2



The interaction modifies the cosmic background evolution

Background Evolution for RP3



The interaction modifies the cosmic background evolution

Background Evolution for RP4



The interaction modifies the cosmic background evolution

Background Evolution for RP5



The interaction modifies the cosmic background evolution



The mass variation, along the modified background evolution, corresponds to a transfer of energy from the DM field to the scalar field



Modified Gravity: *f(R)* (I)
All the DE models discussed so far attempt to explain cosmic acceleration by introducing a new field with $w_{\rm DE} < -1/3$

All the DE models discussed so far attempt to explain cosmic acceleration by introducing a new field with $w_{\rm DE} < -1/3$

An alternative approach is to modify General Relativity in the low curvature regime by changing the gravitational Action. One of the most popular models of this modified gravity approach is f(R):

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} f(R) + S_m(g_{\mu\nu}, \Psi_m)$$

All the DE models discussed so far attempt to explain cosmic acceleration by introducing a new field with $w_{\rm DE} < -1/3$

An alternative approach is to modify General Relativity in the low curvature regime by changing the gravitational Action. One of the most popular models of this modified gravity approach is f(R):

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} f(R) + S_m(g_{\mu\nu}, \Psi_m)$$
(88)

By varying this Action with respect to the metric tensor, with a similar procedure as for the standard GR Action, one gets the f(R) field eqs:

$$f_R R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} f(R) g_{\mu\nu} - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu f_R + g_{\mu\nu} \Box f_R = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu} \quad (89)$$

All the DE models discussed so far attempt to explain cosmic acceleration by introducing a new field with $w_{\rm DE} < -1/3$

An alternative approach is to modify General Relativity in the low curvature regime by changing the gravitational Action. One of the most popular models of this modified gravity approach is f(R):

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} f(R) + S_m(g_{\mu\nu}, \Psi_m)$$
(88)

By varying this Action with respect to the metric tensor, with a similar procedure as for the standard GR Action, one gets the f(R) field eqs:

where we have defined $f_R \equiv df/dR$

(91)

In GR one has $f(R) = R - 2\Lambda$ so that $f_R = 1$ and $\Box f_R = 0$

In GR one has $f(R) = R - 2\Lambda$ so that $f_R = 1$ and $\Box f_R = 0$

On the contrary, if f_R is a function of R one has $\Box f_R \neq 0$ so that f_R corresponds to a new propagating scalar degree of freedom.

In GR one has $f(R) = R - 2\Lambda$ so that $f_R = 1$ and $\Box f_R = 0$

On the contrary, if f_R is a function of R one has $\Box f_R \neq 0$ so that f_R corresponds to a new propagating scalar degree of freedom.

A particularly relevant model of f(R) gravity is given by the choice (Hu & Sawicki 2007):

$$f(R) = R - m^2 \frac{c_1 (R/m^2)^n}{c_2 (R/m^2)^n + 1} \qquad m^2 \equiv \frac{8\pi G\rho_0}{3}$$

because this allows to reproduce exactly the background evolution of a ACDM cosmology by setting:

$$\frac{c_1}{c_2} = 6 \frac{\Omega_{\Lambda}}{\Omega_{\mathrm{M}}}$$

(92)

(93)

In GR one has $f(R) = R - 2\Lambda$ so that $f_R = 1$ and $\Box f_R = 0$

On the contrary, if f_R is a function of R one has $\Box f_R \neq 0$ so that f_R corresponds to a new propagating scalar degree of freedom.

A particularly relevant model of f(R) gravity is given by the choice (Hu & Sawicki 2007):

$$f(R) = R - m^2 \frac{c_1 (R/m^2)^n}{c_2 (R/m^2)^n + 1} \quad m^2 \equiv \frac{8\pi G\rho_0}{3}$$

because this allows to reproduce exactly the background evolution of a ACDM cosmology by setting:

$$\frac{C_1}{C_2} = 6 \frac{\Omega_{\Lambda}}{\Omega_{\mathrm{M}}}$$

(92)

(93)

In this setup the f(R) model will differ from the standard Λ CDM cosmology only at the level of linear and non-linear perturbations



Considering small perturbations around the FLRW metric $g_{\mu\nu}=g^{(0)}_{\mu\nu}+\delta g_{\mu\nu}$

Considering small perturbations around the FLRW metric $g_{\mu\nu}=g^{(0)}_{\mu\nu}+\delta g_{\mu\nu}$

one gets a perturbed metric (after some gauge choice)

$$ds^2 = a^2(\eta) \left[-(1+2\Psi)d\eta^2 + (1+2\Phi)\delta_{ij}dx^i dx^j \right]$$

Considering small perturbations around the FLRW metric $g_{\mu\nu}=g^{(0)}_{\mu\nu}+\delta g_{\mu\nu}$

one gets a perturbed metric (after some gauge choice)

$$ds^{2} = a^{2}(\eta) \left[-(1+2\Psi)d\eta^{2} + (1+2\Phi)\delta_{ij}dx^{i}dx^{j} \right]$$

and by perturbing the EM tensor of a perfect fluid

$$\delta T^{\mu}_{\nu} = \rho \left[\delta (1 + c_s^2) u_{\nu} u^{\mu} + (1 + w) (\delta u_{\nu} u^{\mu} + u_{\nu} \delta u^{\mu}) + c_s^2 \delta \delta^{\mu}_{\nu} \right]$$

Considering small perturbations around the FLRW metric $g_{\mu\nu}=g^{(0)}_{\mu\nu}+\delta g_{\mu\nu}$

one gets a perturbed metric (after some gauge choice)

$$ds^{2} = a^{2}(\eta) \left[-(1+2\Psi)d\eta^{2} + (1+2\Phi)\delta_{ij}dx^{i}dx^{j} \right]$$

and by perturbing the EM tensor of a perfect fluid

$$\delta T^{\mu}_{\nu} = \rho \left[\delta (1 + c_s^2) u_{\nu} u^{\mu} + (1 + w) (\delta u_{\nu} u^{\mu} + u_{\nu} \delta u^{\mu}) + c_s^2 \delta \delta^{\mu}_{\nu} \right]$$

one can then obtain the equations for the evolution of density and velocity perturbations

$$\delta' + 3\mathcal{H}(c_s^2 - w)\delta = -(1 + w)(\theta + 3\Phi')$$
$$\theta' + \left[\mathcal{H}(1 - 3w) + \frac{w'}{1 + w}\right]\theta = -\nabla^2\left(\frac{c_s^2}{1 + w}\delta + \Psi\right)$$

that describe how perturbations grow through grav. instability

The source term is given by the Poisson equation

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = 4\pi G a^2 \rho \delta = \frac{3}{2} \frac{8\pi G \rho}{3H^2} a^2 H^2 \delta = \frac{3}{2} \Omega \mathcal{H}^2 \delta$$

The source term is given by the Poisson equation

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = 4\pi G a^2 \rho \delta = \frac{3}{2} \frac{8\pi G \rho}{3H^2} a^2 H^2 \delta = \frac{3}{2} \Omega \mathcal{H}^2 \delta$$

and the two equations can be combined in a single 2nd order eq.

$$\delta'' + \mathcal{H}\delta' - \frac{3}{2}\Omega\mathcal{H}^2\delta = 0$$

The source term is given by the Poisson equation

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = 4\pi G a^2 \rho \delta = \frac{3}{2} \frac{8\pi G \rho}{3H^2} a^2 H^2 \delta = \frac{3}{2} \Omega \mathcal{H}^2 \delta$$

and the two equations can be combined in a single 2nd order eq.

$$\delta'' + \mathcal{H}\delta' - \frac{3}{2}\Omega\mathcal{H}^2\delta = 0$$

Cosmic acceleration can be described by models beyond the cosmological consant, like w parameterisations

$$w(a) = w_0 + w_a(1-a)$$
 $w_{\text{DE}}(a) = \frac{w_0}{1+b\ln(1/a)}$

The source term is given by the Poisson equation

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = 4\pi G a^2 \rho \delta = \frac{3}{2} \frac{8\pi G \rho}{3H^2} a^2 H^2 \delta = \frac{3}{2} \Omega \mathcal{H}^2 \delta$$

and the two equations can be combined in a single 2nd order eq.

$$\delta'' + \mathcal{H}\delta' - \frac{3}{2}\Omega\mathcal{H}^2\delta = 0$$

Cosmic acceleration can be described by models beyond the cosmological consant, like w parameterisations

$$w(a) = w_0 + w_a(1-a)$$
 $w_{\text{DE}}(a) = \frac{w_0}{1+b\ln(1/a)}$

or scalar field models (Quintessence, k-essence)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\phi} = -\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial_{\nu} \phi - V(\phi) \qquad \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\phi} = p(\chi, \phi)$$

Scalar field models can also have interaction terms with matter

 $\nabla_{\mu}T_{\nu}^{\mu(\phi)} = -QT^{(\mathrm{DM})}\nabla_{\nu}\phi \qquad \nabla_{\mu}T_{\nu}^{\mu(\mathrm{DM})} = +QT^{(\mathrm{DM})}\nabla_{\nu}\phi$

Scalar field models can also have interaction terms with matter

 $\nabla_{\mu}T_{\nu}^{\mu(\phi)} = -QT^{(\mathrm{DM})}\nabla_{\nu}\phi \qquad \nabla_{\mu}T_{\nu}^{\mu(\mathrm{DM})} = +QT^{(\mathrm{DM})}\nabla_{\nu}\phi$

resulting in modified dynamic equations

 $\dot{\rho}_{\rm DM} + 3H\rho_{\rm DM} = +Q\rho_{\rm DM}\dot{\phi} \qquad \ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + \frac{dV}{d\phi} = -Q\rho_{\rm DM}$

Scalar field models can also have interaction terms with matter

 $\nabla_{\mu}T^{\mu(\phi)}_{\nu} = -QT^{(\mathrm{DM})}\nabla_{\nu}\phi \qquad \nabla_{\mu}T^{\mu(\mathrm{DM})}_{\nu} = +QT^{(\mathrm{DM})}\nabla_{\nu}\phi$

resulting in modified dynamic equations

 $\dot{\rho}_{\rm DM} + 3H\rho_{\rm DM} = +Q\rho_{\rm DM}\dot{\phi} \qquad \ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + \frac{dV}{d\phi} = -Q\rho_{\rm DM}$

The advantage of these interaction terms is that they provide a mechanism to exit from a scaling solution (required to solve the fine-tuning problem) into an accelerated solution.

Scalar field models can also have interaction terms with matter

 $\nabla_{\mu}T_{\nu}^{\mu(\phi)} = -QT^{(\mathrm{DM})}\nabla_{\nu}\phi \qquad \nabla_{\mu}T_{\nu}^{\mu(\mathrm{DM})} = +QT^{(\mathrm{DM})}\nabla_{\nu}\phi$

resulting in modified dynamic equations

$$\dot{\rho}_{\rm DM} + 3H\rho_{\rm DM} = +Q\rho_{\rm DM}\dot{\phi} \qquad \ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + \frac{dV}{d\phi} = -Q\rho_{\rm DM}$$

The advantage of these interaction terms is that they provide a mechanism to exit from a scaling solution (required to solve the fine-tuning problem) into an accelerated solution.

Another alternative is to directly modify the gravitational Action

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} f(R) + S_m(g_{\mu\nu}, \Psi_m)$$

which can give a similar background expansion as ACDM but a different growth of perturbations